

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,716	09/18/2003	Stefan Karlinger	71129	4410
23872	7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER	
MCGLEW & TUTTLE, PC			DUNWOODY, AARON M	
P.O. BOX 9227 SCARBOROUGH STATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SCARBORO	JGH, NY 10510-9227		3679	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/664,716	KARLINGER, STEFAN			
Office Action Sur	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Aaron M Dunwoody	3679			
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	is communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available unde after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing d: - If the period for reply specified above is le - If NO period for reply is specified above, t - Failure to reply within the set or extended	COMMUNICATION. r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ate of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on <u>11 Fe</u>	bruary 200 <u>5</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims			•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,17-20 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allo 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,17-20 and</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are obj 8) □ Claim(s) are subje	is/are withdraw owed. 22 is/are rejected. ected to.	n from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is object	ed to by the Examiner	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request the	nat any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet 11) The oath or declaration is	•	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
3. Copies of the certif	None of: the priority documents the priority documents ied copies of the prior e International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		. 🗖				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 		4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date	- • • •		ratent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/11/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "holding section" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 17-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 3934902, McNamee in view US patent 6595473, Aoki et al.

In regards to claims 1, 17 and 22, McNamee discloses a device (10) for holding a flexible hose (12), having at least one holding area (56) for an at least axial holding of the flexible hose; and at least one one-sided support area (36) surrounding the flexible hose and extending towards a free end of the flexible hose. McNamee does not disclose the support area having a trumpet-shaped extension with an inner curved surface extending continuously and uniformly from a reduced outer diameter end, adjacent to the holding area, to an expanded outer diameter end towards the direction of the free end of the flexible hose. Aoki et al teach a support area (63) having a trumpet-shaped extension (65) with an inner curved surface extending continuously and uniformly from a reduced outer diameter end, adjacent to the holding area, to an expanded outer diameter end towards the direction of the free end of the flexible hose, so that the corrugated tube is smoothly flexible (col. 12, lines 55-57). As Aoki et al relates to a fixing structure for a corrugated tube, it would have been obvious to one

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the support area with a trumpet-shaped extension extending continuously and uniformly from a reduced outer diameter end, adjacent to the holding area, to an expanded outer diameter end towards the direction of the free end of the flexible hose, so that the corrugated tube is smoothly flexible, as taught by Aoki et al.

In regards to claims 2 and 18, McNamee in view of Aoki et al disclose the holding area having a substantially cylindrical outer surface and an inner surface comprising inwardly directed annular ribs (32, 36), the inner curved surface having a decreasing radius of curvature from a location adjacent to the holding section to the expanded diameter end, the decreasing radius being one of progressively decreasing and comprising a first radius of curvature adjacent to the holding section followed by a smaller radius of curvature adjacent to the expanded outer diameter end.

In regards to claims 3 and 19, McNamee discloses the holding area further comprising an application area (28) for applying the device to a holding part (50).

In regards to claims 4 and 20, McNamee discloses the holding part being constructed as a fixing clamp or clip.

Note, the holding part is not part of the claimed invention.

In regards to claim 5, McNamee discloses the section application having an outer annular groove.

In regards to claims 6, McNamee discloses an inwardly directed lug (threads of 50) of the holding part engages the annular groove.

In regards to claim 7, McNamee discloses a construction with at least two partial shells (half- shells) (22).

In regards to claim 8, McNamee discloses the partial shells being screwed together (when inserted into 50).

Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 17, 19, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 3498642, Berger in view of Aoki et al.

In regards to claims 1, 17 and 22, Berger discloses a device (300) for holding a flexible hose (15), having at least one holding area for an at least axial holding of the flexible hose; and at least one one-sided support area surrounding the flexible hose and extending towards a free end of the flexible hose. Berger does not disclose the support area having a trumpet-shaped extension with an inner curved surface extending continuously and uniformly from a reduced outer diameter end, adjacent to the holding area, to an expanded outer diameter end towards the direction of the free end of the flexible hose. Aoki et al teach a support area (63) having a trumpet-shaped extension (65) with an inner curved surface extending continuously and uniformly from a reduced outer diameter end, adjacent to the holding area, to an expanded outer diameter end towards the direction of the free end of the flexible hose, so that the corrugated tube is smoothly flexible (col. 12, lines 55-57). As Aoki et al relates to a fixing structure for a corrugated tube, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the support area with a trumpet-shaped extension extending continuously and uniformly from a reduced outer diameter end,

Art Unit: 3679

adjacent to the holding area, to an expanded outer diameter end towards the direction of the free end of the flexible hose, so that the corrugated tube is smoothly flexible, as taught by Aoki et al.

In regards to claims 3 and 19, Berger discloses an application area (34) for applying the device to a holding part.

In regards to claims 4 and 20, Berger discloses the holding part being constructed as a fixing clamp or clip.

Note, the holding part is not part of the claimed invention.

In regards to claim 5, Berger discloses the section application having an outer annular groove (between 34 and 33).

In regards to claims 6 and 21, Berger discloses an inwardly directed lug (see Figure 1) of the holding part engaging the annular groove.

In regards to claim 7, Berger discloses a construction with at least two partial shells (half- shells) (31, 32).

In regards to claim 9, Berger discloses the partial shells being interconnected by snap action devices.

Claims 1-7, 9-11, 15, 17-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 4907830, Sasa et al in view of Aoki et al.

In regards to claims 1, 17 and 22, Sasa et al disclose a device (4) for holding a flexible hose (6), having at least one holding area for an at least axial holding of the flexible hose; and at least one one-sided support area surrounding the flexible hose and

taught by Aoki et al.

extending towards a free end of the flexible hose. Sasa et al does not disclose the support area having a trumpet-shaped extension with an inner curved surface extending continuously and uniformly from a reduced outer diameter end, adjacent to the holding area, to an expanded outer diameter end towards the direction of the free end of the flexible hose. Aoki et al teach a support area (63) having a trumpet-shaped extension (65) with an inner curved surface extending continuously and uniformly from a reduced outer diameter end, adjacent to the holding area, to an expanded outer diameter end towards the direction of the free end of the flexible hose, so that the corrugated tube is smoothly flexible (col. 12, lines 55-57). As Aoki et al relates to a fixing structure for a corrugated tube, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the support area with a trumpet-shaped extension extending continuously and uniformly from a reduced outer diameter end, adjacent to the holding area, to an expanded outer diameter end towards the direction of the free end of the flexible hose, so that the corrugated tube is smoothly flexible, as

Page 7

In regards to claims 2 and 18, Sasa et al disclose having inwardly directed annular ribs (5).

In regards to claims 3 and 19, Sasa et al disclose an application area (11) for applying the device to a holding part (3).

In regards to claims 4 and 20, Sasa et al disclose the holding part being constructed as a fixing clamp or clip.

Note, the holding part is not part of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3679

In regards to claim 5, Sasa et al disclose the section application having an outer annular groove.

In regards to claims 6, Sasa et al disclose an inwardly directed lug of the holding part engaging the annular groove.

In regards to claim 7, Sasa et al disclose a construction with at least two partial shells (half- shells).

In regards to claim 9, Sasa et al disclose the partial shells being interconnected by snap action devices (12).

In regards to claim 10, Sasa et al disclose the partial shells being held together by a closing ring (12).

In regards to claim 11, Sasa et al disclose the closing ring being constructed in one piece.

In regards to claim 15, Sasa et al disclose a cylindrical shoulder for the nonpositive reception of the closing ring in a radial and circumferential direction.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasa et al in view of Aoki et al, and in further view of US patents 2547263, Heimann et al, and 3464307, Wurzel.

In regards to claim 12, Sasa et al in view of Aoki et al disclose the claimed invention except for the closing ring being formed by several partial rings. Heimann et al and Wurzel teach a closing ring being formed by several partial rings. As Heimann et al and Wurzel relates to retaining rings, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the closing ring

Art Unit: 3679

as several partial rings, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

In regards to claim 13, Heimann et al and Wurzel further disclose the partial rings of the closing ring being connectable by snap constructions by snapping in perpendicular to the axis of symmetry.

In regards to claim 14, Heimann et al and Wurzel further disclose rigid, complimentary shapes, engaging behind in the closed position and located on end faces of the partial rings of the closing ring and axial connectability of the shapes and therefore the partial rings.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims above have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure because it illustrates the inventive concept of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M Dunwoody whose telephone number is 571-272-7080. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P Stodola can be reached on 571-272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aaron M Dunwoody Primary Examiner Art Unit 3679

.amd